## Latin Influence on Old English Partitive of

## 1. Introduction

This paper discusses an aspect of the development of the so-called of-genitive. This usage gained ground in Middle English, possibly under the French influence (more specifically, the influence of the French preposition de).

Already in Old English, however, there are many instances of the construction, as illustrated in a number of studies and dictionaries. As those instances appear mainly in texts translated from Latin as renderings of prepositions such as $d e$ and $e x$, the question as to their origin has sometimes been posed: i.e. whether they are native or Latinism. The present paper considers this question, focusing on a particular type of use, namely, the partitive use (e.g. twegen of him "two of them").

Here I will show data suggesting that the partitive use of of in Old English was Latinism, based on the examination of two 10th-century Gospel glosses, the Lindisfarne Gloss and the Rushworth Gloss.

## 2. Partitive construction in Old English

<<Two types of partitive construction in Old English>>
(1) an hyra "one of them"
(2) an of him "one of them"
(3) c900 tr. Bceda's Hist. III. xix. 240 Wæron pær in pa tiid monige of Ongelpeode [multi de gente Anglorum]. (OED2, s.v. of prep. 42a, first citation)
$\ll$ Partitive use of of: Native usage or Latinism?>>
(4) ... are these constructions [i.e. partitive use of of] native or due to Latin influence?
(Mitchell 1985:§1201)
(5) ... we may safely say that the development took place independent of Latin. ... Nothing could be more natural than '(taken) out of' developing into a partitive use.
(Bodtker 1908: 17-18)

## 3. Characteristics of the texts examined

Texts examined:
(i) the Lindisfarne Gloss (Skeat ed. 1871-87: hereafter Li)
(ii) the Rushworth Gloss (Skeat ed. 1871-87: hereafter Ru)
(6) Mt 6:9
(a)
$R u$ : fæder ure pu pe in heofunum earð beo gehalgad pin noma Latin: pater noster qui es in caelis sanctificetur nomen tuum
(b)

Li: fader urer ðu arð ł ðu bist in heofnu $m \nsucceq$ in heofnas sie gehalgad noma ðin Latin: pater noster qui es in caelis sanctificetur nomen tuum Cf. $N R S V$ : Our Father in heaven, hallowed be your name.
(7) Mk 5:9
$R u$ : here noma me is forðon monige we sindun
Latin: legio nomen mihi est quia multi sumus
Cf. $L i$ : here to noma me is foroon monig we sindon
NRSV: My name is Legion; for we are many.

Figure 1: Characteristics of Li and Ru (based on Morrell 1965, Ross 1979)

|  | Lindisfarne <br> Gloss | Rushworth Gloss |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Rul |  |
|  | (the remainder) |  |  |
| glossator | Aldred | Farman | Owun |
| date of glossing | mid-10th c. | late-10th c. | late-10th c. |
| dialect | Northumbrian | Mercian | Northumbrian |


| style | word-for-word | marked by a freedom of translation <br> (except Mk 1-2:15, which depends on $L i)$. | word-for-word <br> (basically a copy of $L i$ ) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 4. Translation of Latin partitive phrases in Li and Ru

Latin partitive constructions considered:
(i) quantifier+genitive plural noun or pronoun (e.g. example (8))
(ii) quantifier + ex (or de)+ablative plural noun or pronoun (e.g. examples (9) and (10))
(8) Mt 26:21

Latin: unus uestrum me traditurus est
$N R S V$ : one of you will betray me
(9) Jn 13:21

Latin: unus ex uobis tradet me
$N R S V$ : one of you will betray me
(10) Mk 12:28

Latin: Et accessit unus de scribis
$N R S V$ : One of the scribes came near

Table 1: Glosses for Latin Partitive Genitive Phrases in $L i$ and $R u$

|  | $R u 1$ | $R u 2$ | $L i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| genitive | 7 | 22 | 36 |
| others | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Total | 10 | 24 | 39 |

Table 2: Glosses for Latin Prepositional Partitive Phrases in Li and Ru

|  | $R u 1$ | $R u 2$ | $L i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| genitive | $19(d e 9 / e x 10)$ |  | $2(d e 2 / e x 0)$ |
| of-phrase | $9(d e 6 / e x 3)$ | $71(d e 14 / e x 57)$ | $77(d e 26 / e x 51)$ |
| from-phrase |  | $10(d e 2 / e x 8)$ | $36(d e 8 / e x 28)$ |
| others | $3(d e 2 / e x 1)$ | $2(d e 0 / e x 2)$ | $3(d e 1 / e x 2)$ |
| Total | 31 | 83 | 118 |

N.B. 1 The difference in the total number of examples between $L i$ and $R u$ is due to the following facts: (i) some leaves of the $R u$ manuscript are missing and (ii) Latin texts in $L i$ and $R u$ are partly different.
N.B. 2 Double glosses (e.g. Lk (Li) 24:13 tuoege of ðæm $\nmid$ fro $m$ him [Latin: duo ex illis]) are included in "others" .

## <<Examples from Li and $\mathrm{Ru} 2 \gg$

(11) Lk 20:39 (Latin gen. $\rightarrow$ Li gen. / Ru2 gen.)

Latin: respondentes autem quidam scribarum dixerunt magister bene dixisti
$L i$ : ondsuaredon ða sumo ðara uduutana cuoedon laruu wel ðu cuoede
Ru2: onsworade sum ðara uðwutuna cwæð wel ðu cwede
NRSV: Then some of the scribes answered, 'Teacher, you have spoken well.'
(12) Mk 14:18 (Latin ex $\rightarrow L i$ of / Ru2 of)

Latin: amen dico uobis quia unus ex uobis me tradet qui manducat mecum
$L i$ : soðlice iuh $\neq$ te an of iuh mec seleð seðe attæs mec $\mathrm{m}[i ð]$
Ru2: soð ic cweðo iow forðon an of iow mec seleð seðe eteð mec mið
NRSV: Truly I tell you, one of you will betray me, one who is eating with me.
(13) Mk 14:10 (Latin de $\rightarrow L i$ from / Ru2 of)

Latin: Et iudas scariotis unus de duodecim abiit ad summos sacerdotes
$L i: 7 \nsucceq æ c$ iuðas scariotis an from ðæm tuoelfu $m$ foerde to ðæm heh-sacerdum
Ru2: 7 iudas scarioth an of twelfum feorde to ðæm heh-sacerdom
NRSV: Then Judas Iscariot, who was one of the twelve, went to the chief priests

## <<Examples from Rul>>

(14) Mt 26:21 (Latin gen. $\rightarrow$ Rul gen. cf. $L i$ gen.)

Latin: amen dico uobis quia unus uestrum me traditurus est
Rul: sop ic eow sæcge $\neq$ an eower me sellende bið
Cf. $L i$ : soðlice ic cueðo iwh forðon $¥ \neq$ te an Iwer mec sellende bið
$N R S V$ : Truly I tell you, one of you will betray me.
(15) Mt 10:29 (Latin ex $\rightarrow$ Rul gen. cf. $L i$ of)

Latin: unus ex eis non cadit super terram sine patre uestro
$R u 1$ : an pære ne fallep on eorban butan fæder eower

Cf. $L i$ : enne łan of ðæm ne fallæð ofer eorðo buta fader iuerræ
$N R S V$ : Yet not one of them will fall to the ground apart from your Father.
(16) Mt 5:19 (Latin de $\rightarrow R u 1$ of cf . $L i$ of)

Latin: Qui ergo soluerit unum de mandatis istis minimis
Ru1: sepe forbon tolesep an of pisse beboda læsest
Cf. $L i$ : seðe of ðon $\nmid$ forðo[ n$]$ untynes $\nmid$ toslittes enne of bobodum ðissum
leasestum $m$ lytlum
$N R S V$ : Therefore, whoever breaks one of the least of these commandments
(17) Mt 8:21 (Latin de $\rightarrow R u l$ of cf . $L i$ from)

Latin: alius autem de discipulis eius ait illi
Ru1: oper ba of leornere his cwæp to him
Cf. $L i$ : oðer $\ddagger$ sum oðer uutedlice from ðegnum his cueð to him
$N R S V$ : Another of his disciples said to him
(18) Mt 26:47 (Latin de $\rightarrow R u 1$ of cf. Li gen.)

Latin: ecce iudas unus de duodecim uenit
Ru1: henu iudas an of bære twelfe cwom
Cf. $L i$ : heono iudas an ðara tuoelfa cuom
$N R S V$ : Judas, one of the twelve, arrived

## 5. Clumsy handling of partitive of-phrase in Ru1

<<structure of the partitive of-phrase in Rul>>
Mt, Jn18:1-3 8 instances (examples (19)-(26))
$\rightarrow o f+$ (clearly or possibly) genitive (cf. Ross 1976)

Mk 1-2:15 1 instance (example (27))
$\rightarrow o f+$ dative
(19) Mt 5:19

Latin: unum de mandatis istis minimis
Rul: an of pisse beboda læsest
Cf. $L i$ : enne of bobodum ðissum leasestum $¥$ lytlum
$N R S V$ : one of the least of these commandments
(20) Mt 8:21

Latin: alius autem de discipulis eius
Rul: oper pa of leornere his
Cf. $L i$ : oðer $\ddagger$ sum oðer uutedlice from ðegnum his $N R S V$ : another of his disciples
(21) Mt 16:28

Latin: quidam de hic stantibus
Rul: sume of bæræ her stondendra
Cf. $L i$ : sume oðera of her stondendum $N R S V$ : some standing here
(22) Mt 18:12

Latin: una ex eis
Rul: an of ðara
Cf. $L i$ : án of ðæm
$N R S V$ : one of them
(23) Mt 18:14

Latin: unus de pussillis istis
Rul: an of pisse lytra
Cf. $L i$ : enne of lytlum ðissum
$N R S V$ : one of these little ones
(24) Mt 26:47

Latin: unus de duodecim
Ru1: an of pære twelfe
Cf. $L i$ : an ðara tuoelfa
$N R S V$ : one of the twelve
(25) Mt 26:51

Latin: unus ex hiis
Rul: an of para
Cf. $L i$ : an of ðæm
NRSV: one of those
(26) Mt 27:48

Latin: unus ex eis
Rul: an of heora
Cf. $L i$ : an of hiora
NRSV: one of them
(27) Mk 2:6

Latin: quidam de scribis
Rul: sume of upwutum
Cf. $L i$ : sume of uðuutum
$N R S V$ : some of the scribes
(28) Mt 25:8 (cf. Ogura 2008)

Latin: date nobis de oleo uestro
Ru1: sellap us of oeles eowres
Cf. $L i$ : seles $\ddagger$ seallas us of ole iuerre
$N R S V$ : Give us some of your oil
(29) Mt 12:37 (of+dative)

Latin: ex uerbis enim tuis iustificaberis et ex uerbis tuis condempnaberis
Rul: of wordum forpon pinum bu bist gesopfæsted 7 of wordum pinum bu bist niðrad
Cf. NRSV: for by your words you will be justified, and by your words you will be condemned.

## 6. Conclusion
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